

### **REMARKS**

Applicants appreciate the Examiner's allowance of Claims 1-12, 14-20, 22, 23, 27 and 28.

The Examiner also states that Claims 13, 21, 24-26 and 29 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection under 35 USC §112, second paragraph. As explained below, Applicants have now amended the claims to overcome this rejection, and it is respectfully requested that these claims now be allowed.

More specifically, the Examiner rejects Claims 13, 21, 24-26 and 29 under 35 USC §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite, for no antecedent basis for "the electronic appliance" in these claims. Accordingly, Applicants have amended each of these claims to recite "an electronic appliance." Hence, there is an antecedent basis for this term, and it is respectfully requested that this rejection be withdrawn.

### **Conclusion**


Therefore, the present application is now in a condition for allowance and should be allowed.

If any fee should be due for this amendment, please charge our deposit account 50/1039.

Favorable reconsideration is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: July 26, 2005

  
Mark J. Murphy  
Registration No. 34,225

COOK, ALEX, McFARRON, MANZO,  
CUMMINGS & MEHLER, LTD.  
200 West Adams Street  
Suite 2850  
Chicago, Illinois 60606  
(312) 236-8500

Customer no. 000026568